Linearization/construction of morphosyntactic structure and linear information

Hisao Tokizaki Sapporo University toki@sapporo-u.ac.jp http://toki.nagomix.net/

English Linguistic Society of Japan Nihon University, November 13, 2010

Proposal

Left/right-branching structure are linearized with prominence and disjuncture, which give hearers cues for building hierarchical structure (§1). The constituent boundary in left-branching structure is not represented phonetically because of the difficulty in pronouncing separated elements in the post-prominence position (§2).

The asymmetry of junctural strength between leftbranching and right-branching structure gives the correlations between phonology and syntax such as phonological prominece and complement-head orders (§3).

2



1.1 Structure and prominence Assign stress to the most deeply embedded element (Cinque 1993) a. [[waste disposal] plan] b. [John [loves Mary]] The element with primary prominence is to be merged with the adjacent element at the first Merge. a. [waste disposal] b. [John [loves O The element with secondary prominence is to be merged with the adjacent element at the second/last Merge. a. [[waste disposal] plan] b. [John [loves Mary]]	2. Asymmetry in juncture between left- and right-branching structure The juncture is stronger in left-branching structure. The boundary in left-branching structure is not represented phonetically because of the difficulty in pronouncing separated elements in the post- prominence position. A B C A B C A B (\underline{x}) C A \underline{x} B C
 1.2 Structure and juncture Spell Out a syntactic constituent boundary as a prosodic boundary. (Tokizaki 1999, 2008a) a. [[waste disposal] plan] xx waste disposal (x) plan x b. [John [loves Mary]] x John x loves Mary xx The adjacent elements not separated by prosodic boundaries are to be merged at the first Merge. (cf. Phillips 1996, Tokizaki 2009, Shiobara 2010) a. xx waste disposal [waste disposal] b. x John x loves [John [loves 	 2.1 Strong juncture in left-branching structure Juncture between elements in left-branching structure is stronger than that in right-branching structure (Tokizaki 2008b). Head Complement: [xp X YP] phrase Complement-Head: [x YP-X] (compound) word X YP Strong juncture: (x) YP Veak juncture: x Juncture: the degrees of connectedness between segments of phonological representation
 1.3 Structure, prominence and juncture Spell Out the most deeply embedded element with prominence and a syntactic constituent boundary as a prosodic boundary. a. [[waste disposal] plan] <u>xx</u> waste disposal (<u>x</u>) plan <u>x</u> b. [John [loves Mary]] <u>x</u> John <u>x</u> loves Mary <u>xx</u> The adjacent elements that have prominence and are not separated by prosodic boundaries are to be merged at the first Merge. a. <u>xx</u> waste disposal [waste disposal] b. <u>x</u> John <u>x</u> loves [John [loves 	2.2 Evidence for junctural asymmetry 1 Sequential Voicing in Japanese is blocked only in right-branching structure (Otsu 1980): [<i>nise</i> [<i>tanuki jiru</i>]] vs. [[<i>nise danuki</i>] <i>jiru</i>] (< <u>sh</u> <i>iru</i>) mock badger-soup mock-badger soup Similar blocking in Korean <i>n</i> -Insertion (Han 1994) Interfixation in Dutch three-word compounds occurs more often at the constituent boundary in right- branching structure than left-branching structure (Krott et al. 2004): [A intf [B C]] >> [A [B intf C]] [[A B] intf C] > [[A intf B] C] unmarked marked

7

8

occurs

9



Percentage (H-C vs. C-H)

3.3 Word stress and compound stress Word stress = compound stress • R-oriented R-oriented (English) Compound/phrasal stress is assigned on the most deeply embedded element (Cinque 1993) Words to compounds in English (R-oriented) [$Wd \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$] = [$_{Cmp}$ [$_{C} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$] [$_{H} \sigma$]] • • • • • construct construct -ion < -ion construct towel towel rack < rack (for) towel Compounds in Romance languages (R-edge) [$Wd \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$] = [$_{Cmp}$ [$_{C} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$] [$_{H} \sigma$]] • • • • • *santo campo < campo santo 19	 3.4 Prominence in pitch accent languages Japanese and Korean have no stress. Japanese has pitch accent on (ante)penult syllable in accented words. (Kubozono 2006, R- oriented) Japanese has prominence on the initial syllable/mora in unaccented words/compounds. Initial lowering is kept on the initial word in compounds: a. <u>raten america</u> b. <u>raten america</u> 	 3.7 Shanghai: small domain In a prosodic word in Shanghai, all the tones following the first pair of tones are deleted, and the second tone is associated with the second syllable. If Shanghai had the same tonal domain as other dialects, the complement noun (phrase) in PPs and VPs would lose its citation tone: Surface * [LH] Tone Split Citation [LH] [LH] [PP [P 'zaw] [N 'mo]] toward horse Shanghai Chinese needs to divide phrases into small prosodic units in order to keep the tone of the lexical items with important information.
Word stress = compound stress • R-oriented R-oriented (English) Prepositional/verb phrases to sentences $[_{Wd} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma] = *[_{Cmp} [_{Cmp} [_{C} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma] [_{H} \sigma]] [_{H} \sigma]]$ *towel that with/buy *you school to go before • *[_{Cmp} [_{H} \sigma] [_{C} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma]] [_{H} \sigma]] *that towel with/buy Final-Over-Final Constraint (Biberauer et al. 2008) • Stress Constraint Postpositional/verb phrases in Left/no stress $[_{Wd} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma] = [_{Cmp} [_{Cmp} [_{C} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma]] [_{H} \sigma]] [_{H} \sigma]]$ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 3.5 Prominence in tone languages Most tone languages have no stress. Tone languages have prominence: metrical head, intensity, duration, stress (Yip 1980, Duanmu 1995) Tone sandhi and tone spreading in a prosodic domain Head-complement languages are expected to have prominence on the right: African and Chinese languages Chinese dialects: head-complement orders Taiwanese, Mandarine,: right prominence Shanghai: left prominence, but 	 3.8 Other Chinese dialects: wide domain Other dialects of Chinese have tone sandhi, which changes tones preceding the final tone in a tonal domain. Standard Chinese changes a sequence of third tones (L) preceding the final third tone in a tonal domain (Yip 2002): Surface [MH L] Citation [L L] <i>mai ma</i> buy horse The complement of verb keeps its citation tone. Both Standard Chinese and Shanghai Chinese keep the tone of the object of verb or preposition.
 <i>m-wia</i> *<i>wia-m</i> 'debt-or' penult (Swa) -da entr<u>a</u>r entr<u>a</u>-da 'entrance' R-edge (Spa) st<u>o</u>lens fötter 'feet <u>of chair</u>' R-oriented (Swe) sinema herc^hau 'watch cinema' L-edge (Nepali) anata-ga-iku-mani 'before you go' No stress (Jap) you-Nom go before 	3.6 Tonal domain in Chinese dialects Why does Shanghai have smaller tonal domain than other Chinese dialects? (Chen 2000) Shanghai [V] [NP], [P] [NP] Xiamen/Taiwanese [V NP], [P NP] The Edge Parameter for prosodic phrasing (Selkirk and Shen 1990) Shanghai Left of XP (phrase) [V] [NP], [P] [NP] Other dialects Right of XP (phrase) [V NP], [P NP] Q: Why are the parameter values different? A: The syllable structures are different.	Conclusion Left/right-branching structure are linearized with prominence and disjuncture, which give hearers cues for building hierarchical structure (§1). The constituent boundary in left-branching structure is not represented phonetically because of the difficulty in pronouncing separated elements in the post-prominence position (§2). The asymmetry of junctural strength between left- branching and right-branching structure gives the correlations between phonology and syntax such as phonological prominece and complement-head orders (§3).

References

- Biberauer, Theresa, Anders Holmberg and Ian Roberts. 2008. Structure and linearization in disharmonic word orders. *WCCFL* 26, 96-104.
- Booij, Geert. 2009. A constructional analysis of quasi-incorporation in Dutch. *Gengo Kenkyu* 135, 5-27.
- Cinque, Guglielmo. 1993. A null theory of phrase and compound Stress. *Linguistic Inquiry* 24, 239-298.
- Clark, John Ellery, Colin Yallop and Janet Fletcher. 2007. An introduction to phonetics and phonology (3rd edition). Malden, Mass. : Blackwell.
- Dobashi, Y. 2003. *Phonological phrasing and syntactic derivation*. Doctoral dissertation.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 1992. The Greenbergian word order correlations. *Language* 68, 81-138.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2005. Prefixing vs. suffixing in inflectional morphology/Order of object and verb/Order of adposition and noun phrase/Order of genitive and noun/Order of adverbial subordinator and clause. In Haspelmath et al. (eds.), 110-113/338-341/346-349/350-353/382-385.
- Duanmu, San. 2008. Syllable structure: The limits of variation. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ghini, Mirco. 1993. Φ -formation in Italian: A new proposal. *Toronto Working Papers* in Linguistics 12-2, 41-78.
- Goedemans, Rob and Harry van der Hulst. 2005. Fixed stress locations/Weightsensitive stress. In Haspelmath et al. (eds.), 62-65/66-69.
- Greenberg, Joseph H. 1966. Some universals of grammar with particular reference to the order of meaningful elements. In J. H. Greenberg (ed.) *Universals of Language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 73-113.
- Han, Eunjoo. 1994. *Prosodic Structure in Compounds*. Doctoral dissertation, Stanford University.
- Haspelmath, Martin, Matthew S. Dryer, David Gil and Bernard Comrie. 2005. *The* world atlas of language structures. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hawkins, John A. 1994. *A performance theory of order and constituency*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holmberg, Anders. 2000. Deriving OV order in Finnish. In P. Svenonius (ed.), *The Derivation of VO and OV*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 123-152.
- Hyman, Larry M. 2008. Directional asymmetry in the morphology and phonology of words, with special reference to Bantu. *Linguistics* 46, 309-350.
- Julien, Marit. 2002. Syntactic Heads and Word Formation. Oxford University Press. Kayne, Richard S. 1994. The antisymmetry of syntax. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kayne, Richard S. 1994. The antisymmetry of syntax. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Krott, Andrea, et al. 2004. Probability in the grammar of German and Dutch: Interfixation in triconsonstituent compounds. *Language and Seech* 47, 83-106. Lehmann, W. P. 1973. A structural principle of language and its implications. *Language* 49, 47-66.
- Lehmann, Winfred P. 1973. A structural principle of language and its implications. Language 49, 47-66.
- Nagahara, Hiroyuki. 1994. Phonological phrasing in Japanese. Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.

- Nasukawa, Kuniya and Phillip Backley. 2008. Affrication as a Performance Device, On-in Kenkyu (Phonological Studies) 11, 35-46.
- Otsu, Yukio. 1980. Some aspects of *rendaku* in Japanese and related problems. *Theoretical issues in Japanese linguistics (MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 2)*, ed. Yukio Otsu and Ann Farmer, 207-236.
- Phillips, Colin. 1996. Order and structure. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.
- Plank, Frans. 1998. The co-variation of phonology with morphology and syntax: A hopeful history. *Linguistic Typology* 2, 195-230.
- Scalise, Sergio. 1992. Compounding in Italian. Rivista di Linguistica 4, 175-199.
- Selkirk, Elisabeth O. and Tong Shen. 1990. Prosodic domains in Shanghai Chinese. In Sharon Inkelas and Draga Zec (eds.) *The phonology-syntax connection*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 313-337.
- Shiobara, Kayono. 2010. Derivational linearization at the syntax-phonology interface. Tokyo: Hituji Syobo.
- Sugahara, Mariko. 2003. Downtrends and post-FOCUS intonation in Japanese. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Tancredi, Christopher. 1992. Del et ion, deaccenting and presupposition. Doctoral dissert at ion, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
- Tokizaki, Hisao. 2008a. Syntactic structure and silence: A minimalist theory of syntax-phonology interface, Tokyo: Hitsuji Syobo.
- Tokizaki, Hisao. 2008b. Symmetry and asymmetry in the syntax-phonology interface. On-in Kenkyu (Phonological Studies) 11, 123-130.
- Tokizaki, Hisao. 2009. Spell Out before you Merge. In Kleanthes K. Grohmann (ed.) *Explorations of Phase Theory: Interpretation at the Interfaces*, 95-113. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Tokizaki, Hisao. 2010a. Stress location and the acquisition of morpho-syntactic parameters. *Proceedings of the 28th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*.
- Tokizaki, Hisao. 2010b. Recursive compounds and word-stress location. Paper to be presented at On Linguistic Interfaces II held at Belfast on Dec 2.
- Tokizaki, Hisao. 2010c. Reconsidering the edge parameter. In Toni Borowsky, Shigeto Kawahara, Takahito Shinya and Mariko Sugahara (eds.) *Prosody matters: Essays in honor of Elisabeth Selkirk* (forthcoming). London: Equinox Publishers.
- Tokizaki, Hisao and Yasutomo Kuwana. 2009. Unattested word orders and left-branching structure. To appear in Theresa Biberauer and Ian Roberts (eds.) *Principles of Linearization*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Tokizaki, Hisao and Yasutomo Kuwana. 2010a. Limited consonant clusters in OV languages. To appear in Phil Hoole et al. (eds.) *Consonant clusters and structural complexity*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Tokizaki, Hisao and Yasutomo Kuwana. 2010b. A stress-based theory of disharmonic word orders. To appear in Theresa Biberauer and Michelle Sheehan (eds.) *Theoretical approaches to disharmonic word orders*. Oxford University Press.
- Wagner, Michael. 2005. Asymmetries in prosodic domain formation. *MIT Working* Papers in Linguistics 49, 329-367.
- Wagner, Michael. 2010. Prosody and recursion in coordinate structures and beyond. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 28: 183–237.
- Willimas, Edwin S. 1981. On the notions "lexically related" and "head of a word."
- Yip, Moira. 2002. Tone. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.